You may use the information and images contained in this document for non-commercial, personal, or educational purposes only, provided that you (1) do not modify such information and (2) include proper citation. If material is used for other purposes, you must obtain written permission from the author(s) to use the copyrighted material prior to its use.

Floating Treatment Wetlands

A pilot-study of the stormwater treatment potential in urban catchments in a subtropical environment

Kaylei Chau^{1,2}, Jenny Oakley¹, Roberto Vega³, George Guillen^{1,2} ¹Environmental Institute of Houston, University of Houston – Clear Lake, Houston, Texas, ²College of Science and Engineering, University of Houston – Clear Lake, Houston, Texas, ³Harris County Flood Control District, Houston, Texas.

Stormwater Runoff – "Pollutant Soup"

- One of the leading causes of water pollution (National Research Council, 2009)
- Generated from precipitation that flows over land or impervious surfaces
- Can pick up & transport harmful pollutants
- Often transported into
 - Streams/Rivers
 - Lakes/Ponds
 - Groundwater
- Difficult to remove all pollutants with current drainage & storage methods



Image source DrDrainageMedia

Stormwater ponds



Common problems:

- Extreme water level fluctuations
- Nutrients such as N & P can accumulate without proper maintenance
 - Can lead to degraded conditions
 - Released into receiving surface waters

Wetlands - "kidneys of the landscape"

- Wetlands treat stormwater using natural processes such as
 - sedimentation
 - photo-oxidation
 - microbial degradation
 - nutrient uptake
- Erosion control
- Flood abatement
- Habitat enhancement



Created wetlands – pros and cons



What are floating treatment wetlands (FTWs)?





Artificial islands that utilize plants to reduce pollutants in water

Buoyant mats anchored to the bottom or shore

Rise and fall with fluctuating water levels

Native wetland plant species

Roots suspended in water column

Photo source: Salix®



Figure 1. Diagram of a floating treatment wetland receiving urban runoff. Icons courtesy of the Integration and Application Network, University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science.

The benefits of Floating Treatment Wetlands



- Expanded wetland surface with no additional land requirements
- Low maintenance = longterm cost savings
- Surface and root systems provide valuable wildlife habitat
- Unaffected by fluctuations in water level
- Adds aesthetic benefits to any system

Purpose of study



- Partnered with Harris County Flood Control District (HCFCD)
 - Phase 1 MS4 co-permittee
 - Responsible for reducing pollutant loads
- HCFCD interested in building and evaluating FTWs for use in flood control basins
 - Monitoring & assessing the performance at enhancing targeted pollutant reductions

Baseline Data Collection

Flow pattern & residence time
 Ambient conditions and water level

- Water quality
 - Rain events
 - Ambient conditions



Image courtesy of EIH

Monitoring Station 1 (MS1)



Figure 3. Diagram of MS1 showing SSA at the inflow, SSE in the control area, SSB behind row 1, SSC behind row 2, & SSD behind row 3 at the outflow.

- Sampled 6 rain events & 3 ambient condition events with FTWs installed
- Deployed "first-flush" samplers, noted environmental conditions and measured flow at the inflow
- Grab samples and water quality measurements at each sampling station (SS)
- Samples analyzed for
 - Bacteria
 - TSS
 - Nutrients

Timeline of Events





Harvesting plants

Volunteers from both EIH and HCFCD collected over a THOUSAND wetland plants for this project in one day!



Planting

The very next day, volunteers met at the UHCL campus to plant the collected wetland plants on the floating mats.



Root establishment

Long, thick, fibrous roots have shown to be the most adept at trapping suspended sediments and taking up pollutants. This photo was taken in March 2021. As you can see, the roots are getting to where we want them to be, but weren't quite ready yet.



Root Establishment

Here we have a photo taken on July 26th of 2021 where the roots have been fully established.

2020 Rainfall and MS1 Water Level at Inflow



2021 Rainfall and MS1 Bubbler Stage at Inflow



2021 Rainfall (in) and Bubbler Stage (ft)

Pollutant Removal Efficiency

% Removal Efficiency = <u>(Influent concentration – Effluent concentration)</u> X 100

Water Quality – E.coli



Water Quality - TSS



Water Quality – Total Nitrogen

MS1 Removal Efficiency (%) of Total Nitrogen During Storm Events



MS1 Removal Efficiencies (%) of Total Nitrogen No FTWs - Storm No FTWs - Storm

Water Quality – Total Phosphorous

MS1 Removal Efficiency (%) of Total Phosphorous During Storm Events





Conclusions & Future Work



Goals other than water quality improvement

Potter Pond (MS1)

- Evaluated 3 commerciallyavailable mats
 - Durability/longevity
 - Cost-effectiveness
 - Plant success
 - Wildlife use
- Assess plant growth and success of 7 native wetland plant species grown together
- Make maintenance recommendations

Alligator Pond (MS2)

- Evaluate DIY mats
 - Durability/longevity
 - Cost-effectiveness
 - Plant success
 - Wildlife use
- Evaluate pollutant removal efficiency of individual plant species:
 - (1) Swamp Lily
 - (2) Virginia Iris
 - (3) control mat with no vegetation

Wildlife Sightings



And more...





Questions?

Kaylei Chau Research Assistant

Research Assistant Environmental Institute of Houston - UHCL **Chau@uhcl.edu**

Referenced Literature

- Borne, K. E., et al. (2015). "Implementation and maintenance of floating treatment wetlands for urban stormwater management." <u>Journal of Environmental Engineering</u> 141(11): 04015030.
- Dahl , T.E. 1990. Wetland Losses in the United States ~ 1780's TO 1980's. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington. D.C. 13pp.
- Dahl, T.E. and C.E. Johnson. 1991. Status and Trends of Wetlands in the Conterminous United States, Mid-1970s to Mid-1980's. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. 28 pages.
- Dahl, T.E. 2006. Status and trends of wetlands in the conterminous United States 1998 to 2004. U.S. Department of the Interior; Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. 112 pp.
- Guillen, G., Mokrech, M., Oakley, J., and Moss, A. 2014. Armand Bayou water quality improvement grant: UHCL created stormwater treatment wetland. Final Report to Galveston Bay Estuary Program. EIH Report 13-003:137.
- Khan, S., et al. (2013). "Design of storm-water retention ponds with floating treatment wetlands." <u>Journal of Environmental Engineering</u> 139(11): 1343-1349.
- National Research Council. Urban stormwater management in the United States. National Academies Press, 2009.
- Rehman, K., et al. (2019). "Floating treatment wetlands as biological buoyant filters for wastewater reclamation." <u>International journal of phytoremediation</u> 21(13): 1273-1289.